

<b>WHAT'S NEW IN 2016 .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>New small business CGT roll-over for tax-effective business restructures.....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1. Eligibility requirements for small business restructure roll-over .....</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Transfer must be part of a 'genuine restructure' .....	4
1.2 The SBE condition .....	5
1.3 Transfer must not materially change the ultimate economic ownership of the asset ..	5
1.4 'Active asset condition' of the small business restructure roll-over.....	7
1.5 The residency requirement.....	8
<b>2. Tax consequences of applying the roll-over .....</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 CGT assets .....	9
2.2 Trading stock .....	9
2.3 Revenue assets .....	10
2.4 Depreciating assets .....	10
2.5 Consequences where membership interests are issued as consideration for the transferred asset.....	11
2.6 The 'Loss Denial Rule' .....	12
<b>3. Other impacts of the new roll-over .....</b>	<b>12</b>
3.1 Dividend and Division 7A issues .....	13
3.2 Interaction with the CGT SBCs.....	13
<b>Start-up incentives for business .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>1. The National Innovation and Science Agenda.....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>2. Funding incentives to encourage innovation .....</b>	<b>16</b>
2.1 Tax incentives for early stage investors .....	17
2.2 Tax incentives for venture capital partnerships.....	17
2.3 Easier access to crowd-sourced equity funding .....	18
<b>3. Increasing access to company losses .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>4. Intangible asset depreciation .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>5. Insolvency reform .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>6. Reforms to employee share schemes .....</b>	<b>20</b>

<b>New withholding tax obligations for property acquired from foreign residents</b> .....	<b>21</b>
<b>1. Buyers to withhold tax from the purchase of ‘Taxable Australian Property’ (‘TAP’)</b> .....	<b>21</b>
1.1 What is Taxable Australian Property? .....	21
1.2 When will a withholding obligation arise for TAP acquired from foreign residents? ..	23
1.3 Exceptions available under new withholding tax rules .....	24
<b>2. Paying and reporting withholding amounts</b> .....	<b>26</b>
2.1 How much are purchasers required to pay? .....	27
2.2 Withholding tax credits available for vendors .....	27
2.3 Penalties apply for failing to withhold from payments .....	28
<b>New rules provide greater flexibility for companies to carry forward tax losses</b> .....	<b>30</b>
<b>1. Background to Continuity of Ownership Test</b> .....	<b>30</b>
<b>2. Continuity of ownership test rules modified to take different share types into account</b> .....	<b>31</b>
2.1 Shares that do not all carry the same rights to dividends or capital distributions .....	31
2.2 Shares that do not all carry the same voting rights, or do not carry all of the voting rights in the company .....	33
<b>3. Tracing of ownership no longer required for shares owned by superannuation funds</b> .....	<b>33</b>
<b>NEW developments for Division 7A loans reveal hidden dangers for the unwary!</b> .....	<b>34</b>
<b>1. RECENT Court decisions highlight major trap with ‘company-to-company’ loans</b> ..	<b>34</b>
1.1 The facts in <i>Re D Marks</i> and <i>NR Allsop</i> .....	34
1.2 The decisions of the AAT in <i>Re D Marks</i> and <i>NR Allsop</i> .....	35
<b>2. NEW ruling confirms Division 7A ‘tax sting’ with faulty structures</b> .....	<b>39</b>
2.1 What types of arrangements are being targeted? .....	39
2.2 What are the ATO’s concerns? .....	41
<b>Taxpayer hit with tax bombshell on termination of their employment</b> .....	<b>42</b>
<b>1. Background to <i>Blank’s case</i></b> .....	<b>42</b>
<b>2. Decision in <i>Blank’s case</i></b> .....	<b>43</b>

<b>3. Implications of <i>Blank's case</i>.....</b>	<b>44</b>
3.1 Tax treatment of payments made for past services .....	44
3.2 ETPs must be paid 'in consequence' of termination .....	45
<b>No deduction for self-education fees incurred after ceasing employment .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>1. General principles for claiming self-education expenses when employment is terminated.....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>2. Background to <i>Thomas' case</i>.....</b>	<b>47</b>
2.1 The main issue and arguments in <i>Thomas' case</i> .....	47
<b>3. The AAT's decision in <i>Thomas' case</i> .....</b>	<b>48</b>
3.1 The commitment to pay the course fees – when were they incurred? .....	48
3.2 Was there still a nexus with assessable income? .....	48
3.3 AAT remits shortfall penalties – safe harbour applies.....	49
<b>RECENT case provides a huge WIN for employers under the Super Guarantee rules .....</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>1. Taxpayer held to be an independent contractor despite being on a fixed retainer ..</b>	<b>51</b>
1.1 The facts in <i>OEM Supplies</i> .....	51
1.2 What were the key factors in the decision? .....	52
1.3 Does this decision 'pave the way' for more employers to avoid an SG obligation? ..	55
<b>Increasing the statutory effective life of in-house software .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>1. What is 'in-house software' ('IHS')? .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>2. Claiming depreciation deductions for IHS .....</b>	<b>57</b>
<b>3. Increase in effective life from 1 July 2015.....</b>	<b>58</b>
<b>Claiming website development costs.....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>1. Background – depreciation of website costs .....</b>	<b>59</b>
<b>2. Dealing with website costs that are incurred before a business commences .....</b>	<b>60</b>
2.1 Start date for decline in value under Division 40.....	61
2.2 Trap for SBEs that hold IHS before business starts!.....	61
2.3 Other non-IHS costs incurred before a business starts .....	62
<b>3. Claiming website costs that are incurred <i>after</i> a business has commenced .....</b>	<b>62</b>

<b>New ATO guidelines for mobile phone and internet usage claims .....</b>	<b>63</b>
1. When can employees claim deductions for their phone or internet expenses? .....	63
2. Substantiating phone and internet expenses – what documentary evidence must be kept?.....	64
3. ATO guidelines for apportioning the work-related use of phones or the internet ....	64
3.1 ATO releases ‘safe harbour’ rates for total claims of \$50 or less .....	64
3.2 Where usage is itemised on bills .....	65
3.3 Where usage is <u>not</u> itemised on bills.....	65
3.4 Bundled phone and internet plans.....	66
<b>FBT and electronic bikes .....</b>	<b>68</b>
1. Key features of arrangements involving an employee’s use of the ‘e-bike’ .....	68
2. FBT implications for employers who provide employees with an ‘e-bike’ for private use .....	69
2.1 Calculating the taxable value of the residual benefit that arises from an employee’s use of an ‘e-bike’ .....	69
2.2 When will an employer avoid an FBT liability in respect of an employee’s private use of an ‘e-bike’?.....	70
<b>TRUST UPDATE FOR 2016.....</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>A bittersweet win for the Commissioner in <i>Thomas</i>’ case.....</b>	<b>73</b>
1. The facts and the Court’s findings in <i>Thomas</i> .....	74
2. The determination of trust income in <i>Thomas</i> .....	76
2.1 Did trust income include franking credits? .....	77
2.2 Did the trustee ‘stream’ the franked dividends? .....	78
3. The present entitlement issue .....	78
3.1 Present entitlement in a trust ‘loss year’ .....	79
4. Was the taxpayer entitled to claim franking credit tax offsets in <i>Thomas</i> ?.....	81
4.1 What did the Court conclude? .....	82
5. What is the significance of <i>Thomas</i> for trustees making 2016 trust distributions? .	84
5.1 How does the decision in <i>Thomas</i> affect the determination of trust income? .....	85
5.2 Making beneficiaries ‘presently entitled’ to trust income.....	86
5.3 Dealing with a loss year.....	88

<b>Tax sting for trust beneficiary who was unaware of trust distribution.....</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>1. The facts in <i>Alderton's case</i> .....</b>	<b>90</b>
<b>2. The findings in <i>Alderton's case</i>.....</b>	<b>91</b>
2.1 Was the taxpayer presently entitled to trust income based on her withdrawal of trust monies alone? .....	91
2.2 Can a 'present entitlement' arise if the taxpayer has no knowledge of the trust distribution?.....	91
2.3 Was the taxpayer's attempt to disclaim her interest in the trust effective? .....	93
<b>3. Conclusion and NTAA comment.....</b>	<b>93</b>
<b>NEW 'third party reporting rules' require trustees to report information to the ATO .....</b>	<b>94</b>
<b>1. How do the new 'third party reporting rules' apply to the trustee of a trust?.....</b>	<b>94</b>
1.1 The 'general rule' imposing a reporting requirement on the trustee of a <i>unit trust</i> ....	95
1.2 The 'general rule' imposing a reporting requirement on the trustee of a trust <i>other than</i> a unit trust.....	96
1.3 If a reporting obligation does arise, what information must be reported to the ATO and when? .....	96
<b>Recent case highlights the importance of a trust's appointor in a family dispute .....</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>1. The facts in <i>Mercanti's case</i> .....</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>2. The Court's findings in <i>Mercanti's case</i>.....</b>	<b>98</b>
2.1 Court's findings on the MMF Deed of Variation.....	99
2.2 Court's findings in relation to the FW Deed of Variation .....	100
<b>3. NTAA comment .....</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>THE LATEST GUIDE ON THE CGT SMALL BUSINESS CONCESSIONS.....</b>	<b>101</b>
<b>Recent Court decision potentially provides greater access to CGT SBCs .....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>1. Companies and the CGT SBCs.....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>2. Issues involved in <i>Devuba's case</i>.....</b>	<b>103</b>
<b>3. DAS found to have no right to dividends.....</b>	<b>104</b>
3.1 Conclusion of the Court .....	105

<b>4. Implications of <i>Devuba's case</i> .....</b>	<b>105</b>
4.1 Limitations to applying principles of <i>Devuba's case</i> .....	106
4.2 Can DASs instead be made redeemable? .....	110
<b>Recent case highlights 'perils' with the treatment of loans under the CGT SBCs.....</b>	<b>111</b>
<b>1. Taxpayer argues debt has a nil value, due to being statute-barred – BUT gets it wrong!.....</b>	<b>111</b>
1.1 The facts in <i>Breakwell</i> .....	111
1.2 The decision of the AAT in <i>Breakwell</i> .....	112
1.3 The decision of the Federal Court in <i>Breakwell</i> provides an interesting twist .....	113
<b>2. Implications of the decision in <i>Breakwell</i> .....</b>	<b>114</b>
<b>Dangers with applying roll-over relief to transfers to a wholly-owned company .....</b>	<b>116</b>
<b>1. Jointly owned property transferred to wholly-owned company ineligible for roll-over .....</b>	<b>116</b>
1.1 The facts in <i>Kafataris</i> .....	116
1.2 The decision of the Federal Court in <i>Kafataris</i> .....	117
<b>2. Can the CGT SBCs apply to a transfer to a company where roll-over relief is not otherwise available? .....</b>	<b>119</b>
2.1 Beware the 'rental exclusion' from the definition of 'active asset' .....	120
<b>GST DEVELOPMENTS IN 2016 .....</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>Taxpayers not carrying on an enterprise miss out on input tax credits .....</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>1. Overview – the concept of an 'enterprise' .....</b>	<b>123</b>
<b>2. Taxpayers incorrectly identified existence of an enterprise – <i>Taxpayer-1-2</i>.....</b>	<b>123</b>
2.1 The issues for consideration by the AAT.....	124
2.2 The AAT's decision.....	124
2.3 The key lessons to be learned from <i>Taxpayer-1-2</i> .....	125
<b>Property managers acting as 'agents' denied claims for GST input tax credits.....</b>	<b>127</b>
<b>1. Background – GST and agency relationships.....</b>	<b>127</b>
1.1 General rules for transactions made through an agent.....	127
1.2 Special rules in Division 153 for agency relationships .....	128

<b>2. Taxpayers acting as agents denied ITCs – <i>Crown Estates</i>' case.....</b>	<b>129</b>
2.1 What were the main arguments of the parties?.....	129
2.2 The AAT's decision .....	130
2.3 Determining who claims ITCs – the principal or agent?.....	132
<b>NEW rules bring offshore supplies in the GST net .....</b>	<b>134</b>
<b>1. What supplies are subject to the NEW rules? .....</b>	<b>134</b>
1.1 Who is an 'Australian consumer'? .....	135
1.2 Extending the reverse-charge rules.....	136
1.3 Safeguard – entities incorrectly treated as not being Australian consumers .....	138
1.4 Amendment to GST treatment of gambling supplies .....	139
<b>2. Electronic distribution platforms treated as 'supplier' .....</b>	<b>139</b>
2.1 What is an electronic distribution platform?.....	140
2.2 Circumstances in which liability remains with non-resident supplier.....	140
<b>3. Will 'business to business' supplies be excluded?.....</b>	<b>141</b>
3.1 New test for determining when an enterprise is carried on in the ITZ .....	141
3.2 Supplies by non-resident suppliers that are <u>not</u> connected with the indirect tax zone.....	142
3.3 Extending the GST-free rules .....	143
<b>4. Compliance issues.....</b>	<b>144</b>
4.1 Registration requirements for non-resident entities .....	144
<b>LATEST DEVELOPMENTS FOR SMSFs .....</b>	<b>147</b>
<b>ATO takes 'dead aim' at using an SMSF as part of a business succession plan .....</b>	<b>149</b>
<b>1. Concerns raised in ID 2015/10 .....</b>	<b>149</b>
1.1 The sole purpose test .....	150
1.2 Provision of financial assistance.....	150
<b>2. The ATO case study – multiple SISA breaches .....</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>3. Advising on buy-sell agreements and SMSFs.....</b>	<b>151</b>
<b>Federal Court imposes civil penalties on SMSF trustees for loans to members.....</b>	<b>152</b>
<b>1. Penalty regime for breaches of super laws .....</b>	<b>152</b>

<b>2. Background to <i>Ryan's case</i>.....</b>	<b>153</b>
2.1 The Court's approach for determining the penalties .....	154
<b>3. How does the SMSF penalty regime apply to contraventions involving related party loans? .....</b>	<b>155</b>
3.1 ATO's approach to applying administrative penalties .....	155
<b>NEW reporting form for concessional contributions reserving strategy .....</b>	<b>156</b>
<b>1. Why use a contributions reserving strategy? .....</b>	<b>156</b>
1.1 Maximising concessional superannuation contributions .....	156
1.2 Avoiding Division 293 tax for high income earners .....	157
<b>2. The ATO's administrative approach .....</b>	<b>158</b>
2.1 The administrative solution .....	158
<b>NEW rules provide greater certainty for SMSFs under the borrowing concessions .....</b>	<b>159</b>
<b>1. An overview of the LRBA requirements .....</b>	<b>160</b>
<b>2. Application of the new 'look-through' provisions.....</b>	<b>160</b>
2.1 Income tax consequences.....	161
2.2 Tax compliance issues .....	162
2.3 An SMSF's ability to claim franking credits is no longer in doubt under the NEW rules.....	162
2.4 How is CGT avoided when the asset is ultimately transferred to an SMSF? .....	163
2.5 Do the 'look-through' provisions apply after the borrowing has been repaid?.....	163
<b>3. How do the new rules apply in relation to GST? .....</b>	<b>164</b>
3.1 The 'look-through' approach for GST under GSTR 2008/3 .....	165
<b>Paperwork requirements that secure a tax exemption for an SMSF .....</b>	<b>166</b>
<b>1. Actuarial certificate requirements.....</b>	<b>166</b>
1.1 The actuarial certificate exception for segregated pension assets used to pay prescribed pensions.....	167
<b>Starting a pension upon reaching age 60.....</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>1. What are the requirements for starting a pension from age 60? .....</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>2. ATO case study on starting a pension at 60.....</b>	<b>170</b>
<b>3. Checklist for starting a pension from age 60 .....</b>	<b>171</b>



<b>ASIC attacks SMSF auditors who fail to satisfy the independence requirements.....</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>1. ASIC disqualifies SMSF auditor for breaching the code of ethics .....</b>	<b>172</b>
<b>2. Overview of the independence requirements for SMSF auditors .....</b>	<b>173</b>
2.1 Applying the independence requirements in the Code .....	173
2.2 ATO guidelines on independence .....	174
<b>3. Guidelines on the ‘space’ required between the auditor and the fund.....</b>	<b>175</b>
<b>IMPORTANT PRACTITIONER ISSUES IN 2016.....</b>	<b>177</b>
<b>Determining market value for tax.....</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>1. Understanding the (valuation) risks .....</b>	<b>179</b>
<b>2. ATO market valuation guidelines.....</b>	<b>180</b>
2.1 Checklist of when a market valuation be required for tax purposes .....	181
2.2 Who can provide a valuation? .....	183
<b>3. Recent case highlights potential penalties.....</b>	<b>183</b>
3.1 Background to <i>Excellar’s case</i> .....	183
3.2 The Tribunal’s decision in <i>Excellar’s case</i> .....	184
3.3 Implications of <i>Excellar’s case</i> .....	184
<b>4. Inspector-General of Taxation review.....</b>	<b>185</b>
4.1 Market valuation private rulings (‘MVPR’) .....	185
4.2 Standard template for instructing valuers.....	186
<b>5. Market valuation checklist to avoid risk.....</b>	<b>186</b>
<b>Danger when assisting foreign clients with property purchases .....</b>	<b>188</b>
<b>1. 2015 foreign investment reforms .....</b>	<b>188</b>
1.1 Outline of the new legislation.....	188
1.2 Identifying clients who would be foreign persons .....	189
1.3 Permitted residential land investments.....	190
<b>2. Penalties for practitioners assisting foreign clients to acquire property.....</b>	<b>192</b>
<b>3. Mitigating the risk .....</b>	<b>192</b>

<b>Director penalty notices and the timing requirements .....</b>	<b>193</b>
<b>1. When is a DPN been ‘given’ for the purposes of commencing recovery proceedings?.....</b>	<b>193</b>
1.1 Arguing the DPN was never received is problematic.....	194
1.2 QSC confirms DPN ‘posted’ when it ‘enters’ Australia Post delivery system – <i>Redmond v DCT</i> .....	194
1.3 In what circumstances will a penalty be remitted? .....	195
<b>2. The statutory defences available to directors .....</b>	<b>197</b>
2.1 The ‘illness (or some other good reason)’ defence.....	197
2.2 The ‘all reasonable steps were taken’ defence .....	198
2.3 The ‘reasonable care in relation to SGC’ defence .....	199
<b>3. If the statutory criteria is met, the DPN will be valid.....</b>	<b>199</b>
3.1 If the DPN complies with the statutory notice requirements, arguing it is invalid is not likely to be successful!.....	199
<b>TAX PRACTITIONER BOARD UPDATE .....</b>	<b>201</b>
<b>Tax agents who did not meet own tax obligations fail ‘fit &amp; proper person’ test .....</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>1. Background – the ‘fit and proper person test’ .....</b>	<b>203</b>
<b>2. AAT affirms TPB’s decision to terminate tax agent’s registration – <i>Kelly’s case</i>... ..</b>	<b>204</b>
2.1 The AAT’s decision in <i>Kelly’s case</i> .....	204
<b>3. AAT affirms TPB’s decision not to renew tax agent’s registration – <i>Delis’ case</i>....</b>	<b>205</b>
3.1 The applicants’ arguments in <i>Delis’ case</i> .....	206
3.2 The AAT’s decision in <i>Delis’ case</i> .....	206
<b>New annual declaration requirement for BAS and tax agents .....</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>1. When is the annual declaration due? .....</b>	<b>207</b>
<b>2. What information is covered by the annual declaration? .....</b>	<b>208</b>
<b>TPB update on tax agent qualifications and experience.....</b>	<b>209</b>
<b>1. Background – qualifications and experience requirements for tax agents .....</b>	<b>209</b>
1.1 Summary of tax agents qualifications and experience.....	210
<b>2. Other TPB information updates for tax agents .....</b>	<b>211</b>
<b>Notes.....</b>	<b>212</b>

